

JOURNAL OF ETHNOPHARMACOLOGY CHECKLIST

Have you consulted the <u>author-pack</u> and verified that your submission adheres to the "Rules of 5"?	YES	NO	
Have you provided a list of all authors which clearly states the contribution of each co- author to the article?	YES	NO	NA
Is the corresponding author's postal address, telephone numbers and e-mail address provided in full?	YES	NO	
Have you included a list of all authors' email addresses?	YES	NO	NA
Do you declare that all the listed authors have read and approved the submitted manuscript?	YES	NO	
Do you declare that this manuscript/data, or parts thereof, has not been submitted for possible publication to another journal or that the work has previously been published elsewhere?	YES	NO	
Is the title precise, clear and specific (do not use uncommon acronyms)?	YES	NO	
Have you provided a clear and easily verifiable scientific reference to the traditional or clinical use of the herbal medicine under investigation (inserted directly next to the related traditional use)?	YES	NO	NA
Do you declare that the present study was performed according to international, national and institutional rules considering animal experiments, clinical studies and biodiversity rights?	YES	NO	NA
Does the activity studied clearly relate to the traditional use?	YES	NO	NA
Have you provided full botanical plant names (refer to www.theplantlist.org), including authorities of all plants? (This applies to all plant species mentioned in the manuscript)	YES	NO	NA
In case of organisms other than plants, are the full scientific names with authorities provided?	YES	NO	NA
Have you provided details of the voucher specimen number for each plant species studied, and the name of the recognized herbarium where they are stored?	YES	NO	NA
Have you provided a proper chemical profile (e.g. TLC, HPLC, GC, MS or NMR) for future reference, particularly where there no voucher specimens were retained?	YES	NO	NA
In case of mixtures, are all the ingredients listed by their full scientific names with authorities?	YES	NO	NA
In case of single compound study, have you provide a direct comparison of the activity of the plant extract and the pure compound?	YES	NO	NA
In case of industrial products, are full details of the batch number, contents, method of extraction and preparation of the final formulation, including quality control data provided?	YES	NO	NA
Surveys – have you provided absolute/primary quantitative data on the frequency of plant use as mentioned in the interviews? And is there a critical assessment of the traditional uses considering regional and global uses and known scientific information on the chemistry and biological effects?	YES	NO	NA
Antimicrobial activity – did you provide an in depth analysis of the antimicrobial activity including proper MIC values, and information on whether the activity is at a reasonable dose, and whether it is just inhibitory or microbicidal?	YES	NO	NA
Quality control – is your study on quality control clearly linked to the claimed activity of the herbal medicine?	YES	NO	NA
Is the abstract in the required structured format? (Ethnopharmacological relevance, Materials and methods, Results, Conclusion)	YES	NO	
Have you provided a graphical abstract according to the authors guidelines? (no explicit animal or organ photographs are allowed)	YES	NO	NA
Did you use the correct format for the references? (numerical referencing is not allowed)	YES	NO	NA
Have you provided a list of abbreviations?	YES	NO	NA
Have you provided a list of compounds studied?	YES	NO	NA

For Reviews

Are the conclusions critical and specific defining the current state of the art?	YES	NO	
Does the MS provide a comprehensive review of the current literature going beyond an overviews of articles indexed in common databases?	YES	No	NA
If pharmacological or clinical studies are reviewed, have you assessed the quality of the studies under review?	YES	NO	NA
For reviews of individual species: Have you included a detailed review of local and traditional uses based on primary sources?	YES	NO	NA